

**Christine  
Armstrong <carmstrong173@gmail.com>**  
to me

Wed, Oct 22, 1:58 PM

Hi Brett,

The Highland Lodge applicant has performed work that does not comply with the terms of the proposed work and is in violation of the permit. Supporting materials are below.

Thank you for following up and cc'ng all correspondence.

My best,  
C. Armstrong

- DRB Application Materials

- Please note that the applicant relayed that the material scraped from the lake would be placed at the kayak stand and up on the beach.
- No material was added to the area of the kayak stand or up on the beach.
- The applicant did not state that the excess material would be backfilled against the jetty.
- By placing 2 feet of added material along the jetty he has violated the terms of the jetty encroachment. Please be sure to view the video to witness this.
- This is not ordinary 'repair and maintenance' of the existing encroachment.
- The natural shoreland ends at the vegetation above which is where the material was to be placed. While flooding introduced accretion along the shoreland which they were attempting to remove, this work has further altered the natural shoreland by depositing extra material along the encroachment instead of up on the land.
- The potential cumulative effect of this encroachment must be considered. By further damming this side of the outflow of Porter Brook with their enlarged encroachment, all silting will be directed to the west.
- This is not the only solution to repair their beach. Other practical measures were not taken: The material must be trucked away.
- The stated goal was NOT to rebuild an existing encroachment but this is exactly what they did.
- This work was performed within the 30 day permit appeal period.